

CADASTRAL MAPPING BASED ON UAV IMAGERY

Paulina Deliś, Michał Kędzierski, Anna Fryśkowska, Damian Wierzbicki

Military University of Technology in Warsaw

Department of Remote Sensing and Photogrammetry Institute of Geodesy, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geodesy 2 Kaliskiego st., 00-908 Warsaw, Poland



CADASTRAL MAPS





LANDS



DWELLINGS

BUILDINGS



CADASTRAL MAPS







IF AN ACCURACY OF ORTHOIMAGES

CREATED BASED ON UAV DATA

IS SUFFICIENT TO CADASTRAL MAP UPDATE?





TEST AREA

CHRZĘSNE (POLAND)

52°26'45.0"N 21°28'52.0"E









TRIMBLE UX5 UAV SYSTEM





TRIMBLE UX5 UAV – SOLUTION COMPONENTS









Туре	Body with the wings
Weight	2.5 kg
Wing span	1 m
Wing surface	34 dm ²
Dimensions	100 x 65 x 10 cm
Motor	Electric motor with propellers
Battery	14.8 V, 6000 mAh
Altitude	75 – 750 m
GSD	2.4 - 24 cm

Military University of Technology









Sony NEX 5R				
przetwornik	CMOS APS-C 23.4 mm × 15.6 mm			
resolution	16.1 Mpix			
size	111/59/39 mm			
weight	210 g (without battery)			
Lens SEL-16F28				
Focal length 16 mm, equivalent 24 mm				





WORKFLOW





http://uas.trimble.com/calculator



Flight calculator

Calculate the ground sample distance, area coverage, flight time and number of pictures for both the UX5 and UX5 HP.

Flight height	75	m	$ \longrightarrow $
nin 75, max 750			
Overlap of pictures	60	96	A
nin 60, max 90			
Area length	0.1	km	
nin 0.1			
Area width	0.85	km	
nin 0.1			
	Calculate		



http://uas.trimble.com/calculator

Туре	Lens	GSD *	Area Coverage	# Flight Lines	Flight Time	# Pictures
UX5	15mm	2 cm	0.085 km ²	19	15 minutes	76
UX5 HP	15mm	2.4 cm	0.085 km ²	12	11 minutes	48
UX5 HP	25mm	1.5 cm	0.085 km ²	20	15 minutes	80
UX5 HP	35mm	1 cm	0.085 km ²	28	19 minutes	112







Test package	
37	
3 625	
NEX 5/ 15.51	
80/70	
200	
1/2.500 s	
4.75	
	Test package 37 3 625 NEX 5/ 15.51 80/ 70 200 1/2.500 s 4.75



GROUND CONTROL POINTS



ACCURACY	OF GPS RTK MEAS	SUREMENT	
mx [m]	m _y [m]	m _z [m]	
0.03	0.03	0.03	
EVA			
	O		
			/



GROUND CONTROL POINTS

Photo Measurer	ment Tool - IC()poligon wedznyn innho)poligon wedrz		- 0 - X
File Edit Displa	av View Window Ontions Help	<u>"</u>	
Complete		/ min / min / min / min / min / g / min / g / g / min / g / g / g / g / g / g / g / g / g /	
Points		Block MultiAerial	
Pei	Point List		
🖉 🏂 FOTO10	🔯 🐼 🛛 NoFilter 🔹 🖧 🕅 🎽 🔹		
D ID Ty	ype Predictions Links StripRefs 4	DSC08505 DSC08506 DSC08507 DSC08508 DSC08509 DSC08509 DSC08612 DSC08612 DSC08614 DSC08615 DSC08616 DSC08661 DSC08662 DSC08663 DSC08664 DSC08665 DSC08771	
FOTO07 H	₩ 🤤 0 0 (0) 0		
FOTO08 H	IV 😏 0 0 (0) 0	Mode: Auto-Renew image election 💌	Page size: 4 🚱 🚯
FOTO09 H	IV 😌 0 0 (0) 0		
FOTO10 CH	CHV 🥥 15 16 (16) 4	Image DSC08505	C08506
FOTO11 H	IV 😊 13 13 (13) 3		
FOTO12 H	1V 0 15 15 (15) 4		
a G1 H'	IV 9 0 0 (0) 0	A	
🦉 🔽 G2 H'	IV 😊 0 0 (0) 0	<u>1</u>	A 1010
🖸 🔽 G3 🛛 H'	IV 🥥 0 0 (0) 0	4	
Ort /			
8	Point Dataile		
General	rome occurs		
Identificati	ion EOTO10		
Type	CHV		
Status	V True		
Description	n 0		
Position	[m]		E
Coordinate	es [510381.119, 5808456.521, 126.45]		
Residuals	[0, 0, 0]		
StdDevs	[0, 0, 0]		
Measurem	nents		
Predicted	15	Image DSC08507 Image DS	C08508
Measured	16		
	Point Image Points		
	T •		
ID Photo	x [mm] v [mm] vrxv [um] Mode Block Elimin;		
DSC08505	6.66884 8.59943 0.0000 LSM * -		
V DSC08506	4.02215 9.03108 0.00000 LSM * -		
V DSC08507	-0.59726 8.65640 0.00000 LSM * -		
V DSC08508	-3.65391 9.22077 0.00000 LSM * -		
DSC08509	-4.96098 9.13485 0.00000 LSM -		
V DSC08613	4.21235 0.20240 0.00000 UNK -		
V DSC08614	2.15442 0.55893 0.00000 LSM * -		
V DSC08615	-1.59957 -0.60844 0.00000 LSM * -		
DSC08616	-4.55918 -1.94263 0.00000 LSM * -		
DSC08662	0.00004 -0.008/9 0.00000 LSM ^ -		
Pocoocca			, ,
•			
Output Log	1 Statistics		Skanowanie komputera 👋 🗙 🔤
			kanowanie komputera zostało rozpoczęte ; 5808456.663 m ; 126.450 m
🧑 👘			PL 🚎 🔥 📭 🚛 16:05



GROUND CONTROL POINTS





RESULTS OF BLOCK ADJUSTMENT





RESULTS OF BLOCK ADJUSTMENT

σ ₀ [μm]/[pix]	6.9/1.4
Number of control points	16
Number of check points	5
RMS error for control points (X, Y, Z) [m]	0.03; 0.03; 0.09
RMS error for check points (X, Y, Z) [m]	0.11; 0.04; 0.13
mX0 [m] / mY0 [m] / mZ0 [m]	0.10 / 0.08 / 0.09
mω [°] / mφ [°] / mκ [°]	0.020 / 0.026 / 0.007



DIGITAL ELEVATION MODEL

Point Cloud density: 8 points/m²



DIGITAL ELEVATION MODEL







Military



CADASTRAL MAP OF CHRZĘSNE





LOW URBAN AREA





LOW URBAN AREA - BUILDINGS





LOW URBAN AREA - PLOTS





ARABLE LANDS





FOREST





CADASTRAL MAP VS. ORTHOIMAGE

	number of objects	Identification of objects [%]	m _o [m]
Buildings	75	91	0.80
Plots	40	80	0.51
Roads	15	95	1.02
Arable Land	20	80	0.40



- 1. The accuracy of orthoimage generated based on uav imagery and the accuracy cadastral map were compared.
- 2. The analysis included: buildings, plots, roads, arable lands and forest.
- 3. The ability of identifying objects was over 90% (buildings, roads), more than 80% (plots and arable lands).
- 4. The geometric accuracy and interpretative advantages of the resulting orthoimages allow to updating the cadastral map in rural areas.
- 5. The interpretive possibilities of orthoimages is influenced by the flight altitude pixel size, spectral and radiometric resolution of a sensor.
- 6. It is estimated that such an update of cadastral maps based on UAV imagery can be less costly than on-ground measurements.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

paulina.delis@wat.edu.pl

Military University of Technology in Warsaw

Department of Remote Sensing and Photogrammetry Institute of Geodesy, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geodesy

2 Kaliskiego st., 00-908 Warsaw, Poland